

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JON ELAM,

**Plaintiff,**

V.

## FORD MOTOR COMPANY.

Defendant.

Case No. 1:24-cv-01085-JLT-SAB

**ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO SHOW  
CAUSE IN WRITING WHY MONETARY  
SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT ISSUE FOR  
FAILURE TO FILE JOINT SCHEDULING  
REPORT**

**DEADLINE: DECEMBER 3, 2024**

A scheduling conference is set for December 5, 2024 in this matter. (ECF No. 3.) The parties were ordered to file a joint scheduling report one full week prior to the scheduling conference. (Id. at 2.) No joint report has been filed in this action.

Local Rule 110 provides that “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” The Court has the inherent power to control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate, including dismissal of the action. Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000).

The Court shall require the parties to show cause why monetary sanctions should not issue for the failure to file a joint report in compliance with order setting the mandatory

1 scheduling conference.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 3       1. The parties shall show cause in writing no later than **December 3, 2024** why  
4 monetary sanctions should not issue for the failure to file a joint scheduling report  
5 as required by the September 13, 2024 order (ECF No. 3). The parties are advised  
6 that filing the untimely joint scheduling report without showing cause in writing  
7 why sanctions should not issue is an insufficient response to this order; and
- 8       2. Failure to comply with this order will result in the issuance of sanctions.

9  
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: December 2, 2024



12 STANLEY A. BOONE  
13 United States Magistrate Judge

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28